The sudden appearance of an epidemic typically inspires rapt attention, panic and action. Once the crisis subsides, public attention wanes although the threat of contagion continues, especially among the world's poor.

Compare our response to severe acute respiratory syndrome, or SARS, with the more familiar germs that plague us daily. Compare it to the dangers of smoking or getting in a car and heading out on the road. Every life is precious, but when you look at the numbers, SARS just isn't as formidable a threat as we've made it out to be. Its death rate is far lower than that for AIDS or malaria: coronaviruses, like the one believed to cause SARS. tend to be most active in the winter and early spring.

In addition to taking the steps necessary to keep SARS at bay-watching out for new cases and isolating people who are contagious to others-we would do well to channel our energies into something more lasting: a permanent, integrated and accountable global public health system for the surveillance and prevention of the microbes that are certain to emerge in the future. Right now, worldwide accounting of disease is incomplete at best, hampered in large measure by sketchy reporting from developing countries. These gaps slowed our containment of SARS and allowed rumor to spread more rapidly than reliable information. When the facts are few, it's easy for fear to fill the vacuum.

Howard Markel. professor of pediatrics and communicable diseases at the University of Michigan. is author of the forthcoming "When Germs Travel."



N